3 1 JAN 1978

NOTE FOR: Chief, Plans and Programs Staff, OL

STATINTL

FROM:

Executive Officer, OL

Dice;

- 1. Re the attached submittal responding to the House Select Committee, I find the following deficiencies:
 - a. I specifically requested that all staffs and divisions be queried on all questions. There is no indication that this was done. Further, I believe the memo to OLC should include a statement that we checked on all questions with negative response.
 - b. The note requested that PMS be checked with regarding the two contractor names to be researched in question 36. The routing documentation would indicate that PD was checked but not PMS.
 - c. The material in the Security Staff file refers to several task orders given to the contractor by TSS (OTS). The immediate question raised is whether we have a copy of these task orders in Records Center or if the OTS procurement team has any record of same.
 - d. The note from you on the top of the package asks whether the documents be released as is or sterilized. Paragraph 2 of the referent memo clearly spells out that all material will be reviewed by the Committee staff within OLC offices and that any notes taken down by the Committee staff will be classified and sanitized by OLC.

Approved For Release 2003/02/27: CIA-RDP85-00759R000100020005-9

- e. Your note further goes on that should the surfaced documents be withdrawn since 99% of the information is not pertinent. I don't presume to know precisely what the Committee on Assassinations is looking for and don't believe we are in any position to judge that which is pertinent. Further, I do not want to put the D/L in the position of saying he had withheld a large volume of documents because, in the judgment of his staff, they were considered not pertinent to the investigation.
- 2. Please rework as follows:
- a. If you have not dome so, coord with PMS and see what, if any, records they have on the two corporations.
- b. Coord with the OTS procurement team to see if they have any records of the contract referred to in the Security Staff documents.
- c. Both PMS and the OTS procurement team searches should include a review of records at the Records Center.
- d. Rewrite the covering memo to include the following:
 - (1) A specific statement of whether the contract/task order is in our files or if we have any record of same.
 - (2) A statement that staffs and divisions within OL have been queried regarding the other 35 questions with negative responses.

STATINTL

Att

Approved Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP85-00799R000100020005-9 Memorandum For the Record: Subject: HSCA Request relative to the Note from E0/01 dated 31 Jan 1978. On 23 January at the D/L RAP session (Ottended by D/L, DD/L, EO & C/P+PS) subject Matter Come up and EO indicated he had directed, P+PS to Coordinate question 36 with PMS with all questions to all divisions and Staffs. D/L Commented that he didn't think it necessary to Cover all points. I left the meeting without a Clear direction on this matter.

STATINTL While attending as meeting at Headquarter the tollowing day I asked my deputly.

The front office. I indicated anyone the three could resolve it seine lall were at the RAP session. Bill talked to the D/L who gave him the following direction: he wied to check with PMS since this action (prior to 1963) was before their activation (circa 1967) and the advent of CONIF. R+D was in PD at the time check with them. Too keed to four all questions to all divisions and stoffs. Bill's action complied processely with D/L alone and Ris Chechuly

W/ Reproved For Release 2003/02/27 EIA-RDP85/00759R000100020005-9 Search

revealed certain documentation of which

Copies were obtained and opended to the memorandum for OLC dation 30 Jan 18.
Following Comments Keyed to to liste.
a. All foints coverage negated by direction from D/L
f. PMS was not queried since it was not in existence in 1963-4 and D/L directed to PDTATINT
c. When PD was queried we relied on their neglative response. We Checked no faither except with 04/55
d. My Kote to D/L merely raised the guestion since I too read paralgraph 2 of the OLC memo (OLC 780-0070/1-012 Jan 78)
documents only the "Clipped" document The document se fined to was a memo from c/o c/ss to c/PD doted to august 1962 Trelative to security clearances of 57 froms I which was one. STA
Approved ForRelease 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP85-00759R000100020005-9

2	a On 2/1/78 Checked ETTANJL (CONIF) - To record.
	b. I called OTS Contractives Team 2/1/28 sud as hed ythey had any files, records or linformation on
	Régative res jouse.
	again W/PD (originally checked by DC/P+PS on 1/24/78 and DC/PD avised no record
	a folder was located in the tacilities
	Jana RD 109 TO 2 3 +5 were in
	and 64-132 Bx 11. These files are
	being recalled immeditately and we shared have them 3/2/78.
	d (1) Copies of the To's will be attached to the memo and it will be
	to the memo and it will be reuniten accordingly.
	(2) I count comply with the
	unless I fan all 38 ques lions
	a corresation with =0 31 Jan he stated that the following
	has acceptable "Management" has reviewed all arestions
	Approved For Release 2003/02/27: CIA-RDP85-00759-000100020005-912 10 guest

STAT	Approved for Rolease 2003/02)27: CIA-RDP85-00759R000100020005-9
	MFR dated 6/29/72 hand. written by 06/55 STATINTL Stating that 500000 PSD/OS advised thin that D/S decreed some years agostat
§ TA TINTL	PSD/OS advised him that D/S decreed some years agostat that the agency would work contract with Peasons were not given.
	STATINTL

